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Abstract

Background: Tumor protein p53 (TP53) is a tumor suppressor transcriptional regulator protein which plays a critical role in the spermatogenesis. One of the most important regulators of p53 is Murine double minute 2 (MDM2), which acts as a negative regulator of the p53 pathway. Based on the key role of p53 and MDM2 in germ cell apoptosis, polymorphisms that cause a change in their function might affect germ cell apoptosis and the risk of male infertility.

Objective: This study was designed to examine associations of TP53 72 Arg>Pro (rs1042522) and MDM2 309 T>G (rs937283) polymorphisms with spermatogenetic failure in Iranian population.

Materials and Methods: A case-control study was conducted with 150 nonobstructive azoospermia or severe oligozoospermia and 150 fertile controls. The two polymorphisms, 72 Arg>Pro in TP53 and 309 T>G in MDM2, were genotyped using PCR-RFLP and ARMS-PCR respectively.

Results: Our analyses revealed that the allele and genotype frequencies of the TP53 R72P polymorphism were not significantly different between the cases and controls (p=0.41, p=0.40 respectively). Also, no significant differences were found in the allelic (p=0.46) and genotypic (p=0.76) distribution of MDM2 309 T>G polymorphism between patients and controls.

Conclusion: The results of this study indicate that polymorphisms of TP53 and MDM2 genes are unlikely to contribute to the pathogenesis of male infertility with spermatogenetic failure.
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Introduction

Infertility is a major health problem that affects approximately 10-15% of childbearing ages couples; among which about half of the cases are attributable to male factors (1, 2). Previous studies have revealed that spermatogenic failure is the most common form of male infertility, which is believed to play an important role in controlling the number of germ cells and eliminating defective germ cells to produce functional spermatozoa (3, 4). For instance, the apoptotic Fas/Fasl pathway can regulate normal sperm production and control of semen quality in human (5). Analysis of the genes involved in the apoptosis process that occurs during spermatogenesis proved that TP53 and MDM2 genes may have an important role in spermatogenesis (6).

The spontaneous germ cell apoptosis and germ cell quality control in spermatogenesis is TP53 mediated. It is observed that TP53 knock-out mice noticeably have less normal spermatozoa compared with TP53+/+ mice; in consequence their fertility rate reduced and have a testicular giant-cell degenerative syndrome (3). MDM2 is a key negative regulator of p53 protein that can inhibit TP53 cell cycle arrest and apoptosis (7, 8). It has been reported that MDM2-/- mice die in the early embryonic stage of their life, while simultaneous knock-out of TP53 in them leads...
to normal development; so it can be deduced that MDM2 is a key negative regulator of TP53 during development (9).

Numerous attempts have been made to identify single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) affecting male infertility, such as TP53 and MDM2, but the conclusions of previous studies were inconsistent. Therefore more study is required in order to clarify their association (10-12). Researchers have reported numerous SNPs in the p53 pathway and some of them may change the function of the TP53 protein. For example one of the important polymorphisms of the TP53 gene occurs at codon 72, which results in a change from arginine (Arg) to proline (Pro) (13, 14). It has also been reported that the GG type of MDM2 SNP309 can increase the MDM2 level and leads to attenuation of TP53 function (15). There is a large volume of published studies describing the role of polymorphisms in the apoptotic disorders such as cancers; however, few studies examine their impact on male infertility (16-21).

The present study aimed to examine the association between p53 and MDM2 polymorphisms and the risk of male infertility with spermatogenetic failure in Iran. In this study, we compared the genotypes of two polymorphisms between non-obstructive azoospermic and oligozoospermic men and healthy controls to evaluate the risk factor of male infertility for the first time.

Materials and methods

Subjects

All studied subjects were consecutively recruited from the Research and Clinical Center for Infertility, Yazd, Iran, including 150 infertile men with NOA or oligozoospermia and 150 fertile men. All patients and controls were ethnic Iranian. Infertile men were chosen from couples referring to the center for infertility treatment. All of the patients in the study showed either azoospermia (absence of sperm in the ejaculated semen) or oligozoospermia (sperm count <15x10⁶ ml⁻¹). Patients with seminal tract obstruction were excluded from the study according to the urologist examination. In total, 150 infertile men (96 NOA and 54 oligozoospermia) aged from 19-50 yr were enrolled in this study. The control group consists of 150 normozoospermic men with female factor subfertility.

Genotyping

DNA was extracted from the peripheral blood using GeneAll genomic DNA isolation kit according to the manufacturer’s protocol (GeneAll ExgeneTM Blood SV mini, Korea). Genotypes were analyzed using PCR-based methods as described below. Genotyping was performed without knowledge of subjects case/control status. The genotypes of TP53 Arg72pro (rs1042522, G>C) were analyzed by restriction fragment length polymorphism RFLP-PCR method (22). The primers used were TP53 F, 5’-AGCAGAGACCTGTGGAA GGGA-3’ and TP53 R, 5’-CAGGGAACTGAC CTGTCAGG-3’, which produce a 483-bp fragment containing the G/C site.

Amplification was accomplished with a 20 µl reaction mixture containing 1 µl template DNA (100 ng/µl), 1 µl of each primer (10 pmol/µl), 7 µl dH₂O and 10 µl Taq 2x Master Mix red (Ampliqon). PCR profile consisted of an initial melting step of 10 min at 94°C, followed by 30 cycles of 45 sec at 94°C, 45 sec at 67°C, and 45 sec at 72°C, and a final elongation step of 7 min at 72°C. The 483-bp PCR products were then digested overnight by restriction enzyme BstU1 (New England Biolabs, UK). After digestion with BstU1, PCR product was cut into 310-bp and 173-bp in the presence of G allele, whereas the mutant allele (T) was undigested.

MDM2 SNP309 (rs2279744, T>G) genotypes were analyzed using the tetra-primer amplification refractory mutation system (ARMS) PCR method (23). Primers MDM2 F1, 5’-GGGCGCCGGGGCTGGG GGCCGTTT-3’ and MDM2 R1, 5’-TGGCCAC TGAAAGGAATCCCAGGCCAAG-3’ were used to amplify the 122-bp wild-type allele (T) and primers MDM2 F2, 5’-GGCAATGGAGCCCGG CAGCCAGG-3’ and MDM2 R2, 5’-ACCTGCCATCATCCGGACCTCCCAGGC TGC-3’ were used to amplify the 158-bp mutant allele (G) as previously reported (24).

The amplification was accomplished with a 20 µl reaction mixture containing 1µl template DNA (100 ng/µl), 0.125 µl of primers MDM2 F1 and MDM2 R1 (10 pmol/µl), 0.25 µl of primers MDM2 F2 and MDM2 R2 (10 pmol/µl), 8.25 µl dH₂O and 10 µl Taq 2x Master Mix red (Ampliqon). The reaction was carried out with an initial melting step of 10 min at 94°C,
followed by 35 cycles of 45 sec at 94°C, 45 sec at 70°C, and 45 sec at 72°C, and a final elongation step of 7 min at 72°C.

The amplified DNA was visualized on an agarose gel containing DNA green viewer TM (Pars-Tous Biotechnology, Iran). The MDM2 SNP 309 T allele generated a 122-bp band, and the G allele generated a 158-bp band. They had a common 224-bp band, which was amplified by primers MDM2 F2 and MDM2 R1. To validate the genotyping results, randomly selected PCR amplified DNA samples (n=1, for each genotype) were examined by direct DNA sequencing and the results were also consistent.

Ethical consideration

Each subject signed an informed consent to participate in our study and to donate a blood sample for genomic DNA extraction. The study was approved by the Ethics Review Board of Research and Clinical Center for Infertility, Yazd, Iran (IR.SSU.MEDICINE.REC. 1394.344).

Statistical analysis

All statistical analysis was performed using SPSS software (Statistical package for the social science, version 19.0, SPSS Inc, Chicago, Illinois, USA). Differences in genotypes distributions between cases and the controls were examined by Pearson Chi-square test. The association between the TP53 and MDM2 polymorphisms and risk of spermatogenic failure were estimated by ORs and their 95% confidence intervals (CIs) from logistic regression analyses. The results were considered statistically significant when p<0.05.

Results

This study included 150 patients with azoospermia or oligozoospermia (average age of 33.88 yr) and 150 healthy control subjects (average age of 33.58 yr). There were no statistically significant differences in the distribution of age between patients and controls (p>0.31). The observed genotype frequencies among the controls and cases were in concurrence with Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium. The PCR-RFLP results and ARMS-PCR results are shown in figure 1 and figure 2, respectively. The rs1042522 distribution and allele frequencies in 150 cases and 150 controls are displayed in table I. The analysis of rs937283 distribution and allele frequencies among groups and subgroups are presented in table II.

Analysis of p53 codon 72 polymorphism

Our results indicated that the frequencies of GG, GC and CC genotypes in the infertile patients (30.7%, 52.7%, and 16.7% respectively) were similar to those in the controls (30%, 47.3%, and 22.7% respectively) (Table I). Using the CC genotypes as a reference, the OR of the GC genotype was 1.39 (95% CI=0.72-2.69) and the OR of the GG genotypes was 1.51 (95% CI=0.82-2.77). The statistical analysis showed that there were no differences in the allelic (p=0.41) and genotypic (p=0.40) distributions in the main groups as well as the sub group.

Analysis of MDM2 SNP 309T>G

Our results showed that the frequencies of the GG, TG, and TT genotypes in the controls were, 30.3%, 42% and 26.7% respectively, which was similar to these percentages in the infertile patients (28.7%, 41.3% and 30% respectively). Using the TT genotype as a reference, the OR of the TG genotype was 0.8 (95% CI=0.45-1.47) and the OR of the GG genotype was 0.87 (95% CI=0.5-1.52). Also, no significant differences were found in the allelic (p=0.46) and genotypic (p=0.78) distributions (Table II).

Table I. Genotype and allele frequencies of TP53 72 Arg>Pro (rs1042522) among the patients and controls and their associations with male infertility

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Azoospermia</th>
<th>Oligospermia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GG</td>
<td>45 (30)</td>
<td>46 (30.7)</td>
<td>25 (26)</td>
<td>21 (38.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CG</td>
<td>71 (47.3)</td>
<td>79 (52.7)</td>
<td>54 (56.3)</td>
<td>25 (46.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC</td>
<td>34 (22.7)</td>
<td>25 (16.7)</td>
<td>17 (17.7)</td>
<td>8 (14.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-value</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chi²</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>2.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allele</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>161 (53.7)</td>
<td>171 (57)</td>
<td>104 (54.2)</td>
<td>67 (62)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>139 (46.3)</td>
<td>129 (43)</td>
<td>88 (45.8)</td>
<td>41 (38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-value</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR (95% CI)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.14 (0.83-1.58)</td>
<td>1.02 (0.7-1.46)</td>
<td>1.14 (0.9-2.21)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data presented as n (%). p<0.05 was considered statistically significant.* Chi-squared test.
CI: Confidence interval OR: Odds ratio
Table II. Genotype and allele frequencies of MDM2 5' UTR T>G (rs937283) among the patients and controls and their associations with male infertility

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genotype</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Azoospermia</th>
<th>Oligospermia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GG</td>
<td>47 (31.3)</td>
<td>43 (28.7)</td>
<td>29 (30.2)</td>
<td>14 (25.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG</td>
<td>63 (42)</td>
<td>62 (41.3)</td>
<td>38 (39.6)</td>
<td>24 (44.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TT</td>
<td>40 (26.7)</td>
<td>45 (30)</td>
<td>29 (30.2)</td>
<td>16 (29.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-value</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chi²</td>
<td>48.1</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>48.1</td>
<td>51.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Allele

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Allele</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Azoospermia</th>
<th>Oligospermia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>157 (52.3)</td>
<td>148 (49.3)</td>
<td>96 (50)</td>
<td>52 (48.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>143 (47.7)</td>
<td>152 (50.7)</td>
<td>96 (50)</td>
<td>56 (51.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-value</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.61</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OR (95% CI)</td>
<td>1.06 (0.90-1.25)</td>
<td>0.91 (0.63-1.3)</td>
<td>0.85 (0.54-1.3)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data presented as n (%). p<0.05 was considered statistically significant. * Chi-squared test.

5' UTR: 5' untranslated region  
CI: Confidence interval  
OR: Odds ratio

Discussion

The results of the present study showed that there are no significance differences between the studied SNPs of TP53 and MDM2 genes and infertility in men.

Apoptosis is a key step that occurs during spermatogenesis to eliminate damaged germ cells in testis. A broad series of genes are functionally important in the apoptosis pathway (5). TP53 and MDM2 are both essential genes in the apoptosis process; these are thought to provide another level of stringency in addition to other spermatogenic quality control mechanism. It has been reported that if either the TP53 or the MDM2 pathway is functionally abnormal, for instance, some SNPs that affect their functions, infertility may occur. It was found that TP53 R72P alters the activity or the level of the TP53 protein, and polymorphisms of the two genes are associated with the risk of apoptosis disorder diseases, such as various cancers (16-19).

Based on the above information, we tested the hypothesis that the polymorphisms in the apoptosis pathway might be responsible for spermatogenetic failure and therefore the following male infertility. Based on the results of this study, there was no significant difference in allele and genotype distribution of the TP53 and MDM2 polymorphisms between cases and controls. This may indicate that these two polymorphisms are not contributed to the pathogenesis of spermatogenetic failure in male infertility. It remains possible that some other functional polymorphism in these genes might be candidates for evaluating individual susceptibility in male infertility. Several case-control studies have explored the association between the p53 R72P polymorphism and male infertility, but the results are contradictory.

Our results were in partial agreement with Huang and colleagues, Lu and colleagues and Ying and colleagues but different from Mashayekhi and Hadiyan and Jin and co-worker who suggested that Arg appears to increase the risk of developing idiopathic male infertility.
infertility in Iranian and southeast Chinese men (9, 12, 25-27). Only one case-control study by Haung and colleagues has investigated the association of MDM2 SNP 309 T>G with the risk of male infertility but our results do not support their research who reported a statistical association between MDM2 SNP 309 T>G with idiopathic male infertility (25). Our results were consistent with previous data in cancer (28, 29).

Recently, Ying Chan and co-worker performed a meta-analysis based on the data from four currently available studies (9, 25-27) to clarify the association of p53 R72P with male infertility. They also reported no association between rs1042522 and idiopathic male infertility (12).

Unlike the previous studies who investigated the frequency of p53 R72P in cases and control groups, Jin's and our study analyzed the frequency of rs1042522 in NOA, oligozoospermia and control groups. The majority of our cases and Jin's cases were NOA which accounts for about 64% and 58.73% respectively. Considering subgroup analysis of Jin's study, they reported that rs1042522 was not relevant to the risk of spermatogenetic failure in oligozoospermia group (p=0.28), which was similar to our data in an Iranian population (p=0.34). They also found no statistically significant difference between allelic distribution among oligozoospermia compared with control (p=0.13) just like our's (p=0.13). It is possible that different subgroup population composition may have contributed to distinct results.

The results from our and Mashayekhi's research were not consistent with each other, although both involved an Iranian sample it indicates that the same ethnicity but different groups may also bring a divergent conclusion. There are several possible explanations for these contradict results. First is different inclusion criteria of studied population in our study with previous studies, as the cases in previous reports were idiopathic infertile men which means that cases were analyzed for a normal 46, XY karyotype and absence of Y chromosomal microdeletions of AZF region proved by molecular analysis. But our cases did not analyze for microdeletions of AZF region and normal karyotype, due to financial constraints. It was reported that Y-q microdeletion is accounted for a big proportion of infertility in men (30). The other factors include different sample size and composition, as the majority of our cases are NOA.

Diverse ethnic backgrounds and effect of gene-environment interactions should also be taken into consideration. Genetic background and specific environment may interact with each other to affect fertility statue of individuals perhaps these two polymorphisms affect male fertility by combining with some other polymorphisms of genes or did not have inherited risk factor.

**Conclusion**

This is the first study which aimed to investigate the association of p53 R72P and MDM2 SNP 309 T>G with the risk of male infertility in subgroup division of NOA and oligozoospermia in an Iranian population. In summary, we conclude that codon 72 polymorphism in p53 gene and SNP 309 T>G in the MDM2 gene were not relevant to spermatogenic failure in cases, NOA group and oligozoospermia group based on an Iranian population including 150 infertile men and 150 healthy controls.

Returning to the hypothesis posed at the beginning of this study, it is now possible to state that the examined polymorphisms in p53 and MDM2 might not be a good genetic marker for evaluating infertility in Iranian men. Further examination of a larger sample size is needed to confirm our results. Since male infertility is a heterogeneous disorder, with numerous environmental and genetic factors contributing to impaired spermatogenesis (31), more broadly research is also needed to determine environmental factors such as nutrition, smoking, and exercise.
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